

# Natural Resources Conservation Service

## Application Ranking Summary

### LPCI

<b>Program:</b>	<b>Ranking Date:</b>	<b>Application Number:</b>
<b>Ranking Tool:</b> LPCI	<b>Applicant:</b>	
<b>Final Ranking Score:</b>	<b>Address:</b>	
<b>Planner:</b>	<b>Telephone:</b>	
<b>Farm Location:</b>		

### National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering "Yes" to the following question. Answering "Yes" to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the national priority category.	
1. a. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Water Quality Degradation – Will the proposed project improve water quality by: (select all that apply)	
2. a. Implementing the practices in a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. b. Implementing the practices in a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. c. Reducing impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides on land adjoining a designated "impaired water body" (TMDL, 303d listed waterbody, or other State designation)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. d. Reducing the impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides in a "non-impaired water body"?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. e. Implementing practices that improve water quality through animal mortality and carcass management?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Water Conservation – Will the proposed project conserve water by: (select all that apply)	
3. a. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce aquifer overdraft.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. b. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce on-farm water use?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. c. Implementing practices in an area where the applicant participates in a geographically established or watershed-wide project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. d. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm water use as a result of changing to crops with lower water consumptive use, the rotation of crops, or the modification of cultural operations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Air Quality - Will the proposed project improve air quality by: (select all that apply)	
4. a. Meeting on-farm regulatory requirements relating to air quality or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. b. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm emissions of particulate matter (PM2.5, PM10)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. c. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm generated greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), and nitrous oxide (N2O)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. d. Implementing practices that increase on-farm carbon sequestration?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Soil Health:– Will the proposed project improve soil health by: (select all that apply)	
5. a. Reduce erosion to tolerable limits (Soil "T")?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. b. Increasing organic matter and carbon content, and improving soil tilth and structure?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Wildlife Habitat – Will the proposed project improve wildlife habitat by: (select all that apply)	
6. a. Implementing practices benefitting threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, or species of concern.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. b. Implementing practices that retain wildlife and plant habitat on land exiting the Conservation	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Reserve Program (CRP) or other set-aside program?	
6. c. Implementing practices benefitting honey bee populations or other pollinators?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. d. Implementing land-based practices that improve habitat for aquatic wildlife?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Plant and Animal Communities: Will the proposed project improve plant and animal communities by: (select all that apply)	
7. a. Implementing practices that result in the management control of noxious or invasive plant species on non-cropland?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. b. Implementing practice in an Integrated Pest Management Plan (IPM)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Energy Conservation– Will the proposed project reduce energy use by: (select all that apply)	
8. a. Reducing on-farm energy consumption?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. b. Implementing practice(s) identified in an approved AgEMP or energy audit, which meet ASABE S612 criteria?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Business Lines – Will the practices to be scheduled in the “EQIP Plan of Operations” result in:	
9. a. Enhancement of existing conservation practice(s) or conservation systems already in place at the time the application is received?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

### State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Is there a documented lek on or within 2 miles of the offered acres that will be under contract if approved? (If the lek is located on the applicant's operation they must be willing to share this information in order to receive points.)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Will the offered acres include expired or expiring (expiring in 2015 calendar year) Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) acres that will be maintained, enhanced, and/or established to LEPC habitat? These acres must be managed under the Conservation Practice Standard 645 Upland Wildlife Habitat Management.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will the contracted acres include treatment of woody vegetation to improve LEPC habitat?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will the contract acres include cropland and/or non-cropland acres that will be converted to LEPC habitat to address a need identified in the Wildlife Habitat Evaluation Guide (WHEG)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Will a Prescribed Burn Plan promoting LEPC habitat be applied under contract?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Is the application supported by an approved NRCS Conservation Plan, less than five years old, that addresses LEPC concerns?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

### Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) value change due to proposed project as measured using Colorado's Lesser Prairie Chicken Wildlife Habitat Evaluation Guide. Answer only ONE of the following questions (1a-1g), if applicable.	
1. a.) Will implementation of the planned project increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by .7 - 1.0 habitat factor value points?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
1. b.) Will implementation of the planned project increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by .6 habitat factor value points?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
1. c.) Will implementation of the planned project increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by .5 habitat factor value points?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
1. d.) Will implementation of the planned project increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by .4 habitat factor value points?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
1. e.) Will implementation of the planned project increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by .3 habitat factor value points?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
1. f.) Will implementation of the planned project increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by .2 habitat factor value points?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
1. g.) Will implementation of the planned project increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by .1 habitat factor value points?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Amount of Lesser Prairie Chicken habitat under control of the applicant that will be treated by proposed project. Answer only ONE of the following questions (2a-2c), if applicable.	

2. a.) Will the project enhance or restore 100% of the existing or potential lesser prairie chicken habitat which is under control of the applicant?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. b.) Will the project enhance or restore 50-99% of the existing or potential lesser prairie chicken habitat which is under control of the applicant?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. c.) Will the project enhance or restore less than 50% of the existing or potential lesser prairie chicken habitat which is under control of the applicant?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Percent of identified threats treated by the proposed project. (Refer to Colorado Threats Checklist that is applicable to the priority area the project is in). Answer only ONE of the following questions (3a-3d), if applicable.	
3. a.) Does the project address 100% of the threats identified for lesser prairie chicken in the project location?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. b.) Does the project address 75-99% of the threats identified for lesser prairie chicken in the project location?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. c.) Does the project address 50-74% of the threats identified for lesser prairie chicken in the project location?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. d.) Does the project address less than 50% of the threats identified for lesser prairie chicken in the project location?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Answer any of the following questions (4-6), if applicable.	
4. Will the project include establishing or promoting forb cover and diversity (LPC brood habitat) on >5% of the offered acres?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Will the proposed project restore previously converted (pasture, hay, cropland etc.) back to sand sagebrush habitat?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Will the applicant defer grazing on more than 25% of the offered acres, for at least two years to develop LPC nesting habitat? Using practices 472 or 528 with a deferment schedule.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

**Land Use:**

Resource Concerns	Practices
-------------------	-----------

**Ranking Score**

Efficiency:  Local Issues:  State Issues:  National Issues:  <b>Final Ranking Score:</b>
--

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

Notes:

<b>NRCS Representative:</b>   <b>Signature Date:</b>	<b>Applicant Signature Not Required on this report for Contract Development unless required by State policy:</b>   <b>Signature Date:</b>
---	--